IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON PORTLAND DIVISION

JOSEF HABER, an individual, on behalf of themself and all others similarly situated, **et al.**,

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 3:17-cv-01827-JR

OPINION AND ORDER

CITY OF PORTLAND et al.,

Defendants.

MOSMAN, J.,

On December 4, 2020, Magistrate Judge Jolie A. Russo issued her Findings and Recommendation (F. & R.) [ECF 128]. Judge Russo recommended that I grant Defendants' Motion for Summary Judgment [ECF 84], deny Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [ECF 85], and dismiss this case. Both Parties filed objections. Upon review, I agree with Judge Russo and DISMISS this case with prejudice.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

The magistrate judge makes only recommendations to the court, to which any party may file written objections. The court is not bound by the recommendations of the magistrate judge, but retains responsibility for making the final determination. The court is generally required to make a de novo determination regarding those portions of the report or specified findings or

1 – OPINION AND ORDER

Case 3:17-cv-01827-JR Document 137 Filed 04/09/21 Page 2 of 2

recommendation as to which an objection is made. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C). However, the court

is not required to review, de novo or under any other standard, the factual or legal conclusions of

the magistrate judge as to those portions of the F. & R. to which no objections are addressed. See

Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985); United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121

(9th Cir. 2003). While the level of scrutiny under which I am required to review the F. & R.

depends on whether or not objections have been filed, in either case, I am free to accept, reject,

or modify any part of the F. & R. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C).

CONCLUSION

Upon review, I agree with Judge Russo's findings and recommendation, and I ADOPT

the F. & R. [ECF 128] as my own opinion. I GRANT Defendants' Motion for Summary

Judgment [ECF 84] and DENY Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment [ECF 85]. The

case is DISMISSED with prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this _9_ day of April, 2021.

Michael W. Mosman MICHAEL W. MOSMAN

United States District Judge